

From: Gray, David [gray.david@epa.gov]
Sent: 12/15/2015 9:21:31 PM
To: Bremer, Kristen [Bremer.Kristen@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Follow Up NATA

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 15, 2015, at 3:01 PM, Bremer, Kristen <Bremer.Kristen@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi David-

Here's what we pulled together on risk in SJB Parish. A big thanks goes to my colleague, Jan Cortelyou, who helped draft the response and run the traps while I was stuck in meetings (she's amazing).

Let me know if this helps answer the transition team's questions. And please don't hesitate to call or write if you need anything else.

EPA has just completed its 2011 National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA). This screening-level assessment for the United States relied upon emissions data from 2011 for a subset of toxic air pollutants identified in the Clean Air Act. The NATA uses models to make broad estimates of health risks over geographic areas of the country. Since it is a screening analysis, NATA is not a definitive predictor of risk. Rather it tells us where to look further to get more information with which to conduct a more refined analysis.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Kristen Bremer

Policy Analysis & Communications
U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards
Email: bremer.kristen@epa.gov
Phone: 919.541.9424
Cell: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Gray, David

Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:59 AM

To: Rimer, Kelly <Rimer.Kelly@epa.gov>; Bremer, Kristen <Bremer.Kristen@epa.gov>; Noonan, Jenny <Noonan.Jenny@epa.gov>

Subject: Follow Up NATA

The Transition Team asked for more details regarding how risk is expressed for SJB Parish from the NATA release and I agreed to get back with them. I don't know the answer and need some help. Can someone pull together a response for me to share?

David